



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,528	03/11/2005	Toshiyuki Tsubouchi	267014US0PCT	7444

22850 7590 03/04/2009  
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.  
1940 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER
----------

MCAVOY, ELLEN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1797

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

03/04/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com



Art Unit: 1797

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al (6,319,879) in combination with Holubec (4,162,985) or in combination with Matsuno et al (6,191,330).

Applicants' arguments filed 11 December 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As previously set forth, Yoshida et al ["Yoshida"] disclose derivatives of bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane useful as traction drive fluids, represented by formula (VII) in column 2, which have a high traction coefficient under high temperatures and an excellent viscosity characteristic under low temperatures. Yoshida teaches that the traction drive fluids have the following properties: (a) molecular weight of 210 or larger, (b) kinematic viscosity at 40°C of 10-25 mm<sup>2</sup>/s, (c) viscosity index of 60 or higher, (d) pour point of -40°C or lower, (e) density at 20°C of 0.93 g/cm<sup>3</sup> or higher, and (f) traction coefficient at 140°C of 90% or higher of the coefficient of 2,4-dicyclohexyl-2-methylpentane. See column 3, lines 1-21. Yoshida also teaches that the traction drive fluids include the hydrogenated dimers of bicyclo [3,2,1] octane ring compounds, bicyclo [3,3,0] octane ring compounds and bicyclo[2,2,2] octane ring compounds. See column 8, lines 58-64 and column 10, lines 17-58. The examiner maintains the position that the traction drive fluids of Yoshida meet the limitations of base oil component (A) of the claims which has been amended to specific base oils for traction drives including the

Art Unit: 1797

above recited base oils. Applicants' invention differs from Yoshida by adding component (B), a hydrocarbon polymer having a weight average molecular weight in the range of 8,000 to 40,000 which comprises as a constituent at least 10 mole % of a monomer bearing a cyclic structure and hydrogenated products thereof, which acts as a viscosity index improver to the traction drive fluid. However, Yoshida allows for the addition of conventional additives to the traction drive fluid including viscosity index improvers. See column 11, lines 45-50. Holubec is added to teach that hydrogenated interpolymers of at least one monovinyl arene and at least one C<sub>4</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> conjugated diene or at least one C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alpha-olefin may be used as additives in lubricating oil compositions. The interpolymers have a number average molecular weight of about 750 to about 10,000. Suitable monovinyl arenes include styrene, methyl-styrene and vinyl naphthalene. Suitable C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alpha-olefins include ethylene, propylene, n-butene-1, n-hexene, etc. See col. 4, line 37 to column 5, line 25. Thus the examiner is of the position that Holubec meets the limitation of component (B) which has a molecular weight overlapping this range. Matsuno et al ["Matsuno"] is added to teach that viscosity index improvers for traction drive fluids include polyalkylstyrenes. See column 12, lines 13-19. The examiner is of the position that Matsuno meets the limitation of component (B) of the claims which may be a polymer of a monomer bearing a cyclic structure.

Having the prior art references before the inventors at the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to have added an additional polymeric viscosity modifier such as the styrene copolymer component disclosed in Holubec or the polyalkylstyrene viscosity index improver disclosed in Matsuno to the traction drive fluid of Yoshida. The examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior

Art Unit: 1797

art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation relied on by the examiner is the disclosure in Yoshida allowing for the addition of other additives to the traction drive fluid including viscosity index improvers.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

Claims 1-10 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe et al (5,283,384) in combination with Holubec (4,162,985) or in combination with Matsuno et al (6,191,330).

Applicants' arguments filed 11 December 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As previously set forth, Abe et al ["Abe"] disclose a traction drive fluid composition comprising a hydrocarbon having a bicyclo octane skeleton such as bicyclo[3,2,1] octane, bicyclo [2,2,2] octane and bicyclo[3,3,0] octane. Abe teaches that the traction drive fluids may contain a hydrogenated dimer or co-dimer of the bicyclo octanes. See column 5, lines 52-59. The examiner maintains the position that the traction drive fluids of Abe meet the limitations of base oil component (A) of the claims which has been amended to specific base oils for traction drives including the above recited base oils. Applicants' invention differs from Abe by adding component (B), a hydrocarbon polymer having a weight average molecular weight in the range of 8,000 to 40,000 which comprises as a constituent at least 10 mole % of a monomer bearing a cyclic structure and hydrogenated products thereof, which acts as a viscosity index

Art Unit: 1797

improver to the traction drive fluid. However, Abe allows for the addition of conventional additives to the traction drive fluid including viscosity index improvers. See column 11, lines 22-58. Holubec is added to teach that hydrogenated interpolymers of at least one monovinyl arene and at least one C<sub>4</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> conjugated diene or at least one C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alpha-olefin may be used as additives in lubricating oil compositions. The interpolymers have a number average molecular weight of about 750 to about 10,000. Suitable monovinyl arenes include styrene, methyl-styrene and vinyl naphthalene. Suitable C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alpha-olefins include ethylene, propylene, n-butene-1, n-hexene, etc. See column 4, line 37 to column 5, line 25. Thus the examiner is of the position that Holubec meets the limitation of component (B) which has a molecular weight overlapping this range. Matsuno et al [“Matsuno”] is added to teach that viscosity index improvers for traction drive fluids include polyalkylstyrenes. See column 12, lines 13-19. The examiner is of the position that Matsuno meets the limitation of component (B) of the claims which may be a polymer of a monomer bearing a cyclic structure.

Having the prior art references before the inventors at the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to have added an additional polymeric viscosity modifier such as the styrene copolymer component disclosed in Holubec or the polyalkylstyrene viscosity index improver disclosed in Matsuno to the traction drive fluid of Abe. As set forth above, the motivation to make the combination relied on by the examiner is the disclosure in Abe allowing for the addition of other additives to the traction drive fluid including hydrocarbon oils and viscosity index improvers.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

Claims 1-6 and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murai et al (4,889,649) in combination with Holubec (4,162,985) or in combination with Matsuno et al (6,191,330).

Applicants' arguments filed 11 December 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As previously set forth, Murai et al ["Murai"] disclose a traction drive fluid containing, as a base stock, a composition composed of 40 to 80 weight % of a 2,4-dicyclohexyl-2-methylpentane and 20 to 60 weight % of a mixture of polycyclohexylalkane and a perhydroindane derivative. The examiner maintains the position that the traction drive fluids of Murai meet the limitations of base oil component (A) of the claims which has been amended to specific base oils for traction drives including the above recited base oils. Applicants' open-ended claim language "comprising" allows for the addition of other additives to the composition including the mixture of polycyclohexylalkane and a perhydroindane derivative disclosed in Murai. Applicants' invention differs from Murai by adding component (B), a hydrocarbon polymer having a weight average molecular weight in the range of 8,000 to 40,000 which comprises as a constituent at least 10 mole % of a monomer bearing a cyclic structure and hydrogenated products thereof, which acts as a viscosity index improver to the traction drive fluid. However, Murai allows for the addition of conventional additives to the traction drive fluid including polyisobutylene and its hydrogenated product as viscosity index improvers. See column 5, lines 18-35. Holubec is added to teach that hydrogenated interpolymers of at least one monovinyl arene and at least one C<sub>4</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> conjugated diene or at least one C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alpha-olefin may be used as additives in lubricating oil compositions. The interpolymers have a number average

Art Unit: 1797

molecular weight of about 750 to about 10,000. Suitable monovinyl arenes include styrene, methyl-styrene and vinyl naphthalene. Suitable C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> alpha-olefins include ethylene, propylene, n-butene-1, n-hexene, etc. See column 4, line 37 to column 5, line 25. Thus the examiner is of the position that Holubec meets the limitation of component (B) which has a molecular weight overlapping this range. Matsuno et al ["Matsuno"] is added to teach that viscosity index improvers for traction drive fluids include polyalkylstyrenes. See column 12, lines 13-19. The examiner is of the position that Matsuno meets the limitation of component (B) of the claims which may be a polymer of a monomer bearing a cyclic structure.

Having the prior art references before the inventors at the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to have added an additional polymeric viscosity modifier such as the styrene copolymer component disclosed in Holubec or the polyalkylstyrene viscosity index improver disclosed in Matsuno to the traction drive fluid of Murai. As set forth above, the motivation to make the combination relied on by the examiner is the disclosure in Murai allowing for the addition of other additives to the traction drive fluid including hydrocarbon oils and viscosity index improvers.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after



Art Unit: 1797

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M. McAvoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1451. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Art Unit: 1797

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ellen M McAvoy/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1797

EMcAvoy  
February 25, 2009